

**MINUTES**  
**SANDY CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Sandy City Hall - Council Chamber Room #211  
10000 Centennial Parkway  
Sandy, Utah 84070

**March 28, 2006**

Meeting was commenced at 7:00 p.m.

**PRESENT:**

**Council Members:** Chairman Steve Fairbanks, Vice Chairman Linda Martinez Saville, Bryant Anderson, Scott Cowdell, Chris McCandless, Stephen Smith, and Dennis Tenney

**Mayor:** Tom Dolan

**Others in Attendance:** City Attorney Walter Miller; Public Works Director Rick Smith; Deputy to the Mayor John Hiskey; Police Chief Stephen Chapman; Parks & Recreation Director Nancy Shay; Assistant CAO James Davidson; Sandy Chamber of Commerce President Nancy Workman; Council Office Director Phil Glenn; Council Executive Secretary Pam Lehman

**ABSENT/EXCUSED:**

CAO Byron Jorgenson

**1. OPENING REMARKS/PRAYER/PLEDGE:**

Members of **Boy Scout Troop #375** conducted a flag presentation. The Prayer was offered by **Gehrig Smith**, and **Tyler Ashby** led the audience in the pledge.

**2. CITIZEN(S) COMMENTS:**

a. There were no Citizen Comments.

**PUBLIC HEARING(S):**

**4. Police Department Grant Application**

Public Hearing regarding a Justice Assistance Grant application through the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The Sandy City Police Department will use the Justice Assistance Grant funds to purchase equipment and technology to assist in the implementation of a mesh communications system. This new system will be used to further the department's ability to gather and transmit information within the limits of Sandy City.

**DISCUSSION:** Police Chief Stephen Chapman reported that the Police Department is required to hold a public hearing prior to submitting and applying for the Justice Assistance Grant [JAG]. He reported that the Sandy City Police Department will use the JAG funds in assisting in the purchase of equipment and technology to implement a 4.9 Ghz radio mesh system which will cover Sandy City's jurisdiction. The radio mesh will be made up of approximately twelve radio nodes which are mounted on current and new poles. The system also requires the purchase of portable cameras for transmitting real-time video. Once operational, the radio mesh will allow transfer of wireless video from the portable cameras located throughout the city. Such locations will include: high-risk intersections, businesses subject to high crime rates, water supply facilities, housing with suspected drug and/or other criminal activities, shopping centers, exposition center, other public gathering places, etc. The goal of the program is to have access to the videos from the police station and in each officer's vehicle for real-time information. The system will also be a benefit in regards to officer safety, for when an officer is in a high-risk area with a camera present.

The JAG funds will be used to assist in the purchase of equipment and technology such as mesh nodes and portable video cameras for real-time access to various identified sites in Sandy City's jurisdiction. The department will add funding to this grant to complete the project.

**Chairman Fairbanks opened the Public Hearing. As there were no comments, the Public Hearing was closed.**

**Steve Smith** asked if camera placements would be sensitive to privacy concerns, also, if the location of the

cameras would be fixed locations.

**Chief Chapman** noted that the cameras would be located in high crime areas where a drug house may be located or in an area that has traffic concerns. He indicated that the cameras can be moved and affixed to other polls and locations throughout the City.

**Chris McCandless** asked if the cameras would be vandal resistant.

**Chief Chapman** indicated that the cameras would be disguised

**Dennis Tenney** asked if the Police Department would be advertizing the use of this system. He also requested updates from the Police Department on how effective the system is working in the City.

**Chief Chapman** reported that the radio mesh system would only be disguised if used during a surveillance. He reported that once the system is up and running, it will have the capability to transmit video from banks, schools, and other areas that may be of concern.

**Steve Fairbanks** felt that this system could be very helpful with Homeland Security issues. He asked if the system was "hack" proof.

**Chief Chapman** stated that nothing is hack proof, but that this system is as close as it can be.

**MOTION:** **Dennis Tenney** made the motion authorizing Mayor Tom Dolan to sign the Justice Assistance Grant application, through the Bureau of Justice Assistance, for the Sandy City Police Department to purchase equipment and technology to assist in the implementation of a mesh communication system.

**SECOND:** **Linda Saville**

**VOTE:** **Tenney- Yes, Saville - Yes, McCandless- Yes, Cowdell- Yes, Smith- Yes, Anderson-Yes, Fairbanks - Yes**

**Motion Approved: Unanimous**

**4. Southpointe Development Rezoning**

Public Hearing to consider the following request: Mr. Jay Chamberlain of Southpointe Development has submitted a request to rezone approximately 10.16 acres from the R-1-40 A "Residential District" to the R-1- 15 A " Residential District". The subject property is located at approximately 491 East 11000 South in the Crescent Community. The proposed rezone includes four separate property owners of which all have given consent for their property to be rezoned. The rezoning would facilitate the development of a proposed 23-24 lot subdivision.

**DISCUSSION: Long Range Planning Manager James Sorensen** reported that Mr. Jay Chamberlain of Southpointe Development has submitted a request to rezone approximately 10.16 acres from the R-1-40A "Residential District" to the R-1-15A "Residential District". The subject property is located at approximately 491 East 11000 South in the Crescent Community. The proposed rezoning includes four separate property owners of which all have given consent for their property to be rezoned. The rezoning would facilitate the development of a proposed 23-25 lot subdivision. Neighborhood meetings were conducted on December 14, 2005 and January 3, 2006.

The subject property was annexed into Sandy City on August 26, 1969. As part of that process it was zoned to the A-1 District. The property was later rezoned to the R-1-40A District as part of the Crescent Quadrant Rezonings. Adjacent parcels include the Utah Transit Authority Light Rail corridor along the west side of the property line, to the south across 11000 South is an R-1-20 "Residential District", to the north is an R-1-20A "Residential District" and to the east is an R-1-8 "Residential District".

Currently, the property has two residential dwelling units located on the site. The applicant intends to remove one of the two existing homes to provide additional building lots with a public street system. If rezoned, the proposed development would require Planning Commission approval as part of the subdivision review.

The proposed rezoning would help facilitate a subdivision with R-1-15A lots fronting 11000 South and R-1-15A lots in the interior of the subdivision. This would create the possibility of 23-25 lots being developed (depending on the public street system) under the

R-1-15A District.

Following the concept of the "Crescent Backbone Study", and the previous actions taken by the Planning Commission and City Council, consistency requires that the 11000 South Corridor in this area maintain an R-1-15 Zoning on the lots that front 11000 South. Previous rezonings along this corridor were required to have lots fronting 11000 South be a minimum of R-1-15(15,000 square feet or greater) in order to meet the concept of a large lot corridor along 11000 South, through the Crescent neighborhood.

An objective in the Crescent Community Plan is to leave a legacy of a country living environment along the "Crescent Backbone" (11000 South). Previous rezonings have required that interior lots be a minimum of R-1-10 Zoning. The applicant in this case is requesting that the interior lots also be rezoned to the R-1-15A District. Thus providing larger lots with animal rights to the interior that will be more compatible with the larger R-1-20A lots to the north. However, a minimum lot size of 20,000 square feet is required for large animals such as a horse.

The Crescent Community's Goals and Policies indicate the following:

*Goal: 1. Honor existing rural atmosphere and natural resources.*

*Policies: b. Encourage lots of one/half acre or more in existing rural area.  
d. Recommend the adoption of a R-1-10 lot size as a minimum.*

The City's General Plan indicates the following:

*Goal: To design neighborhoods to facilitate neighborhood identity and to preserve the character of the Neighborhoods once established.*

*Policies: Recognize that the economics alone is not sufficient reason to alter established neighborhoods. Human and environmental impacts also should be recognized.*

*Require proposed zoning changes to be in harmony with established neighborhoods.*

The residential identity of the Crescent Community is rural in nature. The proposed rezoning and subdivision would not compromise this rural identity as long as the design and layout are consistent with the "Crescent Backbone" concept, which requires that large lots be located on parcels fronting 11000 South (15,000 square feet or greater) with smaller lots located to the rear. In this case the lots to the rear will be zoned the same as the lots that will front 11000 South. There is a possibility that these larger lots can be serviced with secondary water from Draper Irrigation.

Staff does recognize that there are many larger lots (R-1-20A & R-1-40A) to the north of the proposed rezoning. It has been the goal of these residents to maintain a country feel through the area. Staff is supportive of maintaining this country feel and therefore has been very supportive and consistent in recommending lots sizes that are in harmony with the "Crescent Backbone" concept along 11000 South. Staff is also concerned with the appearance of the area and realizes that larger lots can be difficult and expensive to take care of. Lots that can be maintained in a high quality manner will help enhance and preserve the country feel in the area.

Staff does have concerns regarding how the public street system will connect with the R-1-20A subdivision to the north and how some adjacent parcels will be developed in the future.

When the R-1-20A subdivision to the north was developed it was designed with a public street system that was stubbed to the property to the south, on the east, and west of the subdivision. This was done with the intention of the street system going through to 11000 South as the property to the south developed. Staff feels that the street system should connect from the R-1-20A subdivision to the north, through the proposed subdivision to 11000 South.

The proposed rezoning excludes two adjacent parcels: one to the east and to the west side of the proposed subdivision. Staff is concerned as to how these properties may be developed in the future. Do they need to be connected with this proposal or can they be developed independently with access off of 11000 South? Perhaps these properties should be considered for an R-1-15A rezoning as part of this request if the owner is agreeable to the concept. If they are not part of this rezoning request consideration should be given as to how they could be developed during the subdivision review process.

Consideration regarding any development on this property should take into account the following issues as part of the subdivision

process:

1. Access and public street requirements
2. Providing access to adjacent properties for future development
3. Environmental concerns (irrigation, drainage, etc.)
4. Project compatibility
5. Landscaping/preservation of mature site trees
6. Buffering (fencing)

Staff recommends that the proposed Southpointe Development Rezoning from the R-1-40A "Residential District" to the R-1-15A "Residential District" be approved based on the following findings:

1. The proposed rezoning is in harmony with the Sandy City General Plan and the objectives of the Crescent Community Plan.
2. The rezoning request is consistent with previously approved rezonings in the area and in preserving the established neighborhood character of the area.
3. Development at the subject location can be compatible with the surrounding area.

**Duane Rasmussen**, 6925 South Union Park Avenue, representative for the applicant, briefly reviewed the history of the development. He reported that two neighborhood meetings were held regarding the proposed rezoning request that addressed traffic concerns, lot sizes, and maintaining the rural feel of the area. He reported that they went before the Planning Commission to ask for an R-1-15 A zone that would allow animal rights. He indicated that they did not realize that large animals rights would not be allowed unless the property is zoned under the R-1-20A zone. He asked for the Council's consideration to allow an R-1-15 A Zone with animal rights. He explained that their initial proposal was to develop the property with one-half acre lots adjacent to the existing one-half acre lots to the north of the subject property, then transition to 10,000 or 12,000 square foot lots to the south. However, at the neighborhood meeting, the residents were not supportive of that option. Therefore, the decision was made to request the R-1-15 A zone. They presented a plat proposing the installation of a cul-de-sac, providing an emergency access for emergency vehicles to enter, and closing off traffic flow from 10600 South to 110 00 South. Mr. Rasmussen noted that Planning Staff has not had the opportunity to review the newly proposed recommendations from the developer.

**Phil Glenn** informed the Council that the public hearing notice did not specify or include a possible zone change to R-1-15A.

**Wally Miller** indicated that it would be acceptable if the Council should decide to change the zone since it would fall within allowable notice guidelines.

#### **Chairman Fairbanks opened the Public Hearing.**

**Robert Stephens**, Area 11 Community Coordinator, 10949 South 85 East, stated that the main concern from residents was preserving existing lot sizes, animal rights, and water rights. The residents would like to see the zoning in this area remain an R-1-40A. If, however, it is to be rezoned at all, then the very least the zone should be is an R-1-20A. He would like the new occupants to have the ability to own horses if they so choose.

**Todd Kiser**, 10702 South 540 East, expressed appreciation to the developer, and the process the City undertakes to allow citizen input. He felt that it would be reasonable to consider down-zoning the property since it sits adjacent to R-1-10 zoning. He felt it was unfair on the developer's part to present a revised plat with a cul-de-sac without presenting it to the Planning Commission first to determine if the cul-de-sac meets code for the width of fire vehicles. The trail system is used by both horse owners and residents living in this area. He stated that the reason he purchased his home was in large part to the rural feel of this area. The City has provided a "jewel" for these residents, and he was hopeful the Council would uphold the Planning Commission's request to rezone the property R-1-20A.

**Scott Bryce**, 443 East Naomi Drive, mentioned the uniqueness of the area with access for trails into Dimple Dell Park and Dry Creek Reservoir. Residents can mount their horses in their yards and ride down into the park. He stated that animal rights will increase the resale value of the property, and would like to see the zone as an R-1-20A.

**Fred Lowry**, 10958 Bay Meadow Circle, noted that the goal of the Crescent Community was to preserve open space and honor the existing rural atmosphere and natural resources. This area is adjacent to Dimple Dell Park which permits the use of pedestrian and equestrian trails. This area is one of the only areas in the City that provides animal rights for property owners. He felt it would be a great travesty to down zone the property. The access of the trail system into Dimple Dell Park and Corner Canyon adds rural value to the area and needs to be maintained. He informed the Council that the local High School Rodeo Association is one of the largest in the nation with an average of 1500 entries each year. He asked the City Council to uphold the Planning Commission's recommendation to maintain the R-1-20A Zone.

**Gerry Wagoner**, 10738 South 455 East, has lived in this area for 33 years. They do not own horses, but they have an orchard, tennis court, and a garden. She felt it was important to be able to choose the type of lifestyle an individual desires.

**Tom Brimley**, 431 East Naomi Drive, felt that animal rights should be maintained in this area of the City. He felt that residents will benefit from the resale value of their homes if larger lot sizes are maintained. He noted that he purchased his home in this area because he wanted a home with a larger lot.

**Allison Birrenkott**, 10777 South Heather Ridge Drive, stated that the subject property is an irreplaceable piece of agricultural property and should not be zoned less than R-1-20A.

**Andrea Price**, 443 East Naomi Drive, felt that the zone should be no less than an R-1- 20 A Zone to preserve the rural nature of this area. People who purchase homes in this area want it not only for horses but for ownership.

**Duane Milne**, 10656 South 390 East, stated that he did not want to see the zoning changed from R-1-40A, since most of the people who live in this area purchased their homes for the horse property.

**Bruce Black**, 10727 South 455 East, felt that smaller lots would bring more traffic congestion to this area. He felt that the lots should remain as half acre lots to preserve the rural nature of the area.

**As there were no further comments, Chairman Fairbanks closed the Public Hearing.**

**Dennis Tenney** expressed appreciation to the residents for sharing their concerns with the Council. He also thanked the developer, Duane Rasmussen, for demonstrating good will in trying to accommodate the residents requests. He noted that he would support the Planning Commission's recommendation to rezone the property from the R-1-40A Zone to the R-1-20A Zone. He did not feel it would be good planning on the City's part to maintain the R-1-40A lots since the property adjacent to the proposed development has been rezoned with smaller lot sizes. It is the job of the City Council to balance the interests of the property owners as well as the developer, and to help maintain the rural integrity of the area.

**Scott Cowdell** noted that this area has gone through a number of changes over the years. He briefly explained some of the changes that took place during the Hardcastle rezone. He felt that the zone should remain agricultural, and that the rural integrity should be preserved. He stated that he would support the recommendation of the Planning Commission to rezone the property R-1-20A.

**Linda Saville** stated that the City truly cares how the City's neighborhoods look. Implementing the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance made possible a resource for the residents to help in preserving their homes and properties as the City continues to age. She indicated that she also would support the Planning Commission's recommendation.

**Bryant Anderson** stated that during the time he has served on the City Council, it has always been the Council's commitment to maintain larger lot sizes in this area of the City. He also believed that it is still the desire of this Council to follow through with this commitment .

**Chris McCandless** appreciated the comments from the developer and residents. He struggled with the comments that the quality of life would be jeopardized if the zoning was down sized. He loves and uses the trail systems located in this area. No development will ever be able to take the amenities of the area away from the residents. He felt that the traffic issues were and should be a big concern regardless of the decision the City Council makes to zone the property. He recommended that a strong recommendation be sent to the Planning Commission to follow through with the concept of an emergency access with a head gate, and a dedicated right-of-way for the trail system, and to prohibit traffic, if possible, from cutting through 10600 South to 11000 South. He also suggested implementing a zoning condition on the land contiguous to the proposed development to remain

½ acre lots, and allow the R-1-15 A Zone in the interior of the development. He felt that the developer has been sensitive to the residents' concerns. He would favor the Planning Commission's recommendation with some additional zoning conditions.

**Steve Fairbanks** felt that some residents believe that the City Council will jeopardize the integrity of this area by changing the zone on the proposed property. He indicated that the residents would still have their property along with access to the trail systems regardless of how the property is zoned. He expressed concern over property owners' rights to own and control their property, and how difficult it is when other people dictate what can or cannot be done with the land. He felt that the benefit of the doubt should be given to the developer for his willingness to buffer the proposed development with ½ acre lots.

**#1 Motion:** **Chris McCandless** made the motion to: rezone the property contiguous to the proposed development to the R-1-20A Zone, that the property beyond the buffering lots be the R-1-15 Zone, and apply the following zoning conditions: that the Planning Commission close the roadway from 10600 South to 11000 South so that it is not used as a thoroughfare through the development, and to also provide a dedicated right-of-way with an emergency gate that could be removed at Staff's discretion; require the developer to disclose to the future property owners that they are contiguous to horse property.

**Second:** **Motion Fails for lack of Second.**

**#2 Motion:** **Dennis Tenney** made the motion that documents be bought back supporting the Planning Commission's recommendation to rezone the Southpointe Development from R-1-40A to the R-1-20A Zone, and to also include a strong recommendation to the Planning Commission, during site plan reviews, that the plat require an emergency gate and dedicated right -of-way for future road needs, but to close access to the neighborhood to the north of the proposed development.

**Second:** **Bryant Anderson**

**Question on the Motion:** **Mr. Cowdell** felt the developer should be required to design the roadway eliminating access from 10600 South to 11000 South.

**City Attorney Wally Miller** indicated that he felt it would be wise to hear from the City Traffic Engineer on the proposed dedicated right -of -way with an emergency gate before the Council makes any decisions on the Southpointe Rezone.

**Dennis Tenney** indicated that the Planning Commission would address safety issues of a dedicated right-of-way with an emergency gate and access from 10600 South to 11000 South during site plan reviews.

**Scott Cowdell** expressed concern that an emergency access with a cul-de-sac may not meet the length requirements specified in the code under the R-1-20A Zone, and if so, the roadway from 10600 South to 11000 South cannot be allowed to go through.

**James Sorensen** indicated that the Planning Commission would address concerns with the roadway during the site plan review of the project, once the Council makes a determination on the zone.

**Substitute Motion #3:** **Scott Cowdell** made the motion that any decision on the rezone for the Southpointe Development be delayed and referred back to the Developer for a revised plan that would accommodate a design for a roadway under the proposed R-1-20A Zone, that would be acceptable for safety purposes, and to determine if the developer's proposal meets the criteria set forth by the City Traffic Engineer. If the proposal is not feasible under the R-1-20A Zone, then the Council should reconsider rezoning the subject property to the R-1-40A Zone.

**Second:** **Steve Smith**

**Discussion on the Motion:** **Dennis Tenney** indicated that he would definitely vote no to Mr. Cowdell's motion. He stated " this is making a "camel out of a quarter horse".

**Steve Smith** indicated that he agreed with Mr. Cowdell that the roadway from 10600 to 11000 South cannot take place. This would destroy the character of the subdivision. The subdivision to the south is serviced from 11000 South. He felt it would not create anything different if access was eliminated from 10600 South. He believed that the developer could redesign the subdivision to accommodate the cul-de-sac that would allow the R-1-20A Zone to be implemented.

**Chris McCandless** did not want to see the Council over step their bounds with issues that the Planning Commission should

decide. He indicated that he would vote no to Mr. Cowdell's motion. He felt that the Planning Commission will address the Council's recommendations on the emergency access and a dedicated right-of-way.

**Bryant Anderson** felt that this issue should be sent back to the Planning Commission to resolve the safety concerns associated with traffic, emergency gate, and the dedicated right-of-way.

**Substitute Motion #4:** **Dennis Tenney** amended his original motion to include that the property be rezoned from the R-1-40A to the R-1-20A Zone, thus allowing the Planning Commission to deal with traffic concerns during the site plan review.

**Second:** **Linda Saville**

**Question on the Motion:**

**Scott Cowdell** indicated that his motion was not intended to over step the Planning Commission's authority, rather to make certain that safety issues related to the cul-de-sac and roadway are addressed adequately.

**Mr. Glenn** stated that the Council's Rules of Procedure requires that the Council vote on the last substitute motion that was made by Mr. Tenney.

**Vote on Substitute Motion #4:**

**Vote:** Tenney- Yes, Saville- Yes, McCandless - No, Cowdell- No, Smith - No  
Anderson - Yes, Fairbanks - No.

**Motion Failed:** 3 in favor- 4 opposed

**Vote on Substitute Motion#3:**

**Vote:** Cowdell-Yes, Smith - Yes, Tenney- No, McCandless- No, Saville -No  
Anderson - No, Fairbanks - No.

**Motion Failed:** 2 in favor - 5 opposed.

**Vote on Motion #2 [Dennis Tenney's original motion]**

**Vote:** Tenney - Yes, Anderson- Yes, McCandless- Yes, Cowdell -No,  
Smith - No, Saville - Yes, Fairbanks - No.

**Motion Approved:** 4 in favor - 3 opposed.

**Wally Miller** suggested that since the paperwork to adopt the rezone would not come before the Council for adoption for two weeks, that the Traffic Engineer could address the issues of the roadway with the Council in two week prior to the Council making any formal decisions on the rezone.

**COUNCIL ITEMS:**

2. **April 2006 Fair Housing Month**

**Resolution #06- 17 C** - proclaiming the month of April 2006, as Fair Housing Month in Sandy City.

**MOTION:** **Dennis Tenney** made the motion to adopt Resolution #06-17 C, proclaiming the month of April 2006, as Fair Housing Month in Sandy City.

**SECOND:** **Linda Saville**

**VOTE:** Tenney- Yes, Saville - Yes, McCandless - Absent, Anderson - Yes, Cowdell-Yes, Smith - Yes,  
Fairbanks- Yes

**Motion Approved:** 6 in favor - 1 absent

3. **Hendrickson Rezoning**

**Ordinance #06-06** - rezoning approximately .21 acres of property located at approximately 10787 South 700 East, from the PUD(8) "Planned Unit District" to the PO "Professional Office District".

**MOTION:** **Dennis Tenney** made the motion to adopt Ordinance #06-06, rezoning approximately .21 acres of property located at approximately 10787 South 700 East, from the PUD(8) "Planned Unit District" to the PO "Professional Office District".

**SECOND:** **Steve Smith**

**VOTE:** **Tenney- Yes, Smith - Yes, McCandless- Absent, Anderson - Yes, Cowdell- Yes, Saville- Yes, Fairbanks- Yes**

**Motion Approved : 6 in favor - 1 absent**

4. **Sandy City Cemetery File Notice of Claim with the Sandy City Recorder**  
**Resolution #06-13 C** - requiring the owner of a lot, site or portion of the Sandy City Cemetery, which has been unused for burial purposes for more than 60 years to file a notice of claim to the lot, site, or parcel with the Sandy City Recorder.

**MOTION:** **Bryant Anderson** made the motion to adopt Resolution #06-13 C, requiring the owner of a lot, site or portion of the Sandy City Cemetery, which has been unused for burial purposes for more than 60 years to file a notice of claim to the lot, site, or parcel with the Sandy City Recorder.

**SECOND:** **Dennis Tenney**

**VOTE:** **Anderson - Yes, Tenney- Yes, Saville- Yes, Smith - Yes, Cowdell- Yes, McCandless- Absent, Fairbanks- Yes**

**Motion Approved: 6 in favor - 1 absent**

**MINUTES:**

8. **Approving the March 7, 2006 and the March 14, 2006 City Council Meeting Minutes.**

**MOTION:** **Dennis Tenney** made the motion to approve the March 7, 2006, and the March 14, 2006 City Council Meeting Minutes as written.

**SECOND:** **Linda Saville**

**VOTE:** **The Council responded verbally in the affirmative to the motion.**

9. **MAYOR'S REPORT:**

- a. **Mayor Dolan** encouraged the Council not to believe everything they read in the newspaper regarding Salt Lake County and REAL Soccer. He reported that the majority of the Salt Lake County Council are moving towards an amicable solution in negotiations for locating the stadium in Sandy. Economic Development Director Randy Sandy has attended numerous meetings on funding mechanisms and the need for an Interlocal Agreement for infrastructure associated with the soccer stadium.
- b. **Mayor Dolan** reported that he recently attended a luncheon where six of the former Sandy City Mayors' attended.
- c. **Mayor Dolan** reported that Beckton - Dickenson has begun construction on a new addition to their facility.
- d. **Mayor Dolan** informed the Council that the construction trailer that was located at the Woodbury site on Monroe Street has been moved off of the site since construction on their project has been delayed until late summer or early fall.
- e. **Mayor Dolan** indicated that the Planning Commission will be hearing the proposal for the Home Depot on 20<sup>th</sup> East and 9400 South, with the developer presenting new design standards for the exterior of the building.
- f. **Mayor Dolan** reported that Trina Klinger has been promoted to Communications Director for the City.

10. **CAO'S REPORT:**

- a. No Report was given.

11. **COUNCIL OFFICE DIRECTOR'S REPORT:**

- a. **Phil Glenn** presented an updated monthly calendar to the Council, and the latest map for the proposed 2006 Spring Clean-up.
- b. **Phil Glenn** reminded the Council that if any of them would like to remain as non- voting members on the Utah League of Cities and Towns that they would need to submit their application to the Council Office. The Utah League of Cities and

Towns Legislative Policy Committee has changed the voting procedure for Elected Officials allowing only three individuals from a City to be voting members [one from Council, one from Mayor's Office, one from City at Large] .

- c. **Mr. Glenn** reminded Council members that their homework on the Goal Setting Process should be returned to the Council Office by Tuesday of next week so that information can be compiled for the discussion scheduled for the April 11<sup>th</sup> City Council Meeting.
- 12. **OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS:**
  - a. **Chris McCandless** reported that Snowbird has invited the City Council for dinner to discuss the proposed expansion of their facility. In the interest of time, he asked Chairman Fairbanks if this item could be scheduled for an upcoming Council Meeting.
  - b. **Dennis Tenney** presented a brief report on the recent National League of Cities Conference [NLC] that he attended in Washington D.C. He reported on various issues regarding lobbying efforts by the NLC for Congress to maintain the level of CDBG funding, education, and the immigration reform act that is before Congress.
  - c. **Linda Saville** reported that she has been receiving telephone calls from residents regarding the City's ordinance pertaining to recreational vehicle parking and hard surface requirements. **City Attorney Wally Miller** indicated that he would be happy to brief her on the requirements of this ordinance.
  - d. **Bryant Anderson** offered to pass along any questions the Council may have regarding the recent proposal that was submitted to them from The Living Aquarium. Mr. Anderson felt that this proposal will benefit the community by providing a wholesome activity that is both recreational and educational for the residents of Sandy. He reported that Smiths, the owner of the old Mac's Building, has accepted Living Aquariums offer for the lease of the building. He indicated that the Living Aquarium has yet to request any assistance from the City.

**Phil Glenn** reported that if the Council determines to offer any financial assistance to the Aquarium, a quid-pro-quo [something for something] needs to be established. This process would require an evaluation to be completed prior to scheduling a public hearing. The evaluation would need to determine the value of services before determining the City's contribution. A public hearing notice would require a two week posting in the newspaper before a required hearing.

**Bryant Anderson** felt that this issue was not time critical since the Aquarium is not scheduled to move from their existing facility until May.

**Assistant CAO Jamie Davidson** reported that much of the information received from the Aquarium is qualitative and financial numbers cannot be clearly determined with the information. He indicated that the Administration would need time [2-3 weeks] in order to prepare an evaluation with accurate numbers and estimates.
  - e. **Steve Fairbanks** reported that the Rotary Club would like to display some of their annual awards and plaques here in City Hall.

**Wally Miller** asked Mr. Fairbanks to ask the Rotary Club to contact the Administration.
  - f. **Steve Fairbanks** asked the Administration to explore the possibilities of establishing a Neighborhood Preservation Foundation to assist in helping residents maintain their properties.

At approximately 9:10 p.m., **Dennis Tenney** made a motion to **adjourn** Council Meeting, motion seconded by **Bryant Anderson**. The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a **verbatim transcription** of the meeting. These minutes are a **brief overview** of what occurred at the meeting.

---

Steve Fairbanks  
Council Chairman

---

Pam Lehman  
Council Office Executive Secretary

